

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,969	07/30/2001	Roger Stringham	2923.03-2.1	3144
24001	7590 05/02/2002			
FINLEY & BERG, LLP 455 MARKET STREET SUITE 1940		EXAMINER		
			BEHREND,	BEHREND, HARVEY E
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94105-2448		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	· · ·
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

A	07/9(8969	3/11 ng nam
Office Action Summary	Examiner /	Group Art Unit
	13chren	3641
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address
eriod for Reply		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE Or.	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	a reply within the statutory minimu	im of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	cept for formal matters, prose 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
/ -3 0	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
(-).		are subject to restriction or election
(laim(s) / -30		are carped to recite and or creation
Claim(s) / -3 O		requirement.
Application Papers	•	requirement.
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	awing Review, PTO-948.	requirement.
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	awing Review, PTO-948.	requirement.
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	awing Review, PTO-948 is	requirement. □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are o	awing Review, PTO-948 is	requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	awing Review, PTO-948 is	requirement. □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are o The drawing(s) filed on is/are o The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copie	awing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-	disapproved. (d).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	awing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- es of the priority documents ha	disapproved. (d). ave been
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are o The drawing(s) filed on is/are o The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copie	awing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved in approved in piected to by the Examiner. er. aty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- as of the priority documents have the priori	disapproved. (d). ave been
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	awing Review, PTO-948. is	disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	awing Review, PTO-948. is	disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	awing Review, PTO-948. is	disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	awing Review, PTO-948 is approved is bjected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- es of the priority documents has umber) e International Bureau (PCT F	disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/918,969

Art Unit: 3641

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-22, 25-30 drawn to an invention, classified in class 376, subclass 146.
- II. Claims 23, 24 drawn to an invention, classified in class 376, subclass 100.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another materially different process such as a process for transmuting radioactive waste as referred to in the Hagelstein document referred to on pages 2 and 3 of the instant application.

- 2. <u>Upon election of one of the inventions identified above as I and II</u>, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claim is generic):
 - A. The arrangement as illustrated in Fig.1.
 - B. The arrangement as illustrated in Fig. 4.
 - C. The arrangement as illustrated in Fig. 5.
 - D. The arrangement as illustrated in Fig.6.
 - E. The arrangement as illustrated in Fig. 7.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/918,969

Art Unit: 3641

- 3. <u>Upon election of one of the species identified above as A-E</u>, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121, to elect a single ultimate species of energy source, for purposes of examination. This is to facilitate examining due to the numerous types of energy sources disclosed and claimed as a being suitable (e.g. see claim 2).
- 4. <u>Upon election of one of the species identified above as A-E, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single ultimate species of reactant material (e.g. H₂, H₂O, D₂O, etc., including any <u>liquid</u> it may be in)(and if in compound, composition or mixture form, the <u>exact constituents of said compound, composition or mixture</u>), for purposes of examination.

 This is to facilitate examining due to the broad range of materials disclosed and claimed as being suitable (e.g. see claim 1).</u>
- 5. <u>Upon election of one of the species identified above as A-E applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121, to elect a single ultimate species of catalytic material,</u> for contact with or positioning atoms, etc., of the reactant material, for purposes of examination. This is to facilitate examining due to the broad range of materials disclosed and claimed as a being suitable (e.g. see claim 1).
- 6. <u>Upon election of one of the species identified above as A-E, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121, to elect a single ultimate species of the physical form of the catalytic material, for purposes of examination. This is to facilitate examining due to the broad range of forms disclosed and claimed as a being suitable (e.g. see the specification on page 7, lines 11,12 and claim 10).</u>

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/918,969

Art Unit: 3641

- 7. <u>Upon election of one of the species identified above as A-E,</u> applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claim is generic).
- X. Wherein the reactant material is itself in liquid form (e.g. see claim 1).
- Y. Wherein the reactant material is dissolved in a liquid (e.g. see claim 1).
- Z. Wherein the reactant material is mixed with a liquid (e.g. see claim 1).
- 8. <u>Upon election of one the species identified above A-E,</u> applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claim is generic).
- M. Wherein the means for conducting heat includes a circulation system and a heat exchanger.
 - N. Wherein the means for conducting heat includes bimetallic thermo-electric means.
- 9. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species, which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as a provided by CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 09/918,969

Art Unit: 3641

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harvey Behrend whose telephone number is (703) 305-1831. The examiner normally can be reached on Tuesday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

HEB/jph

4-23-02